

Groups with many subgroups having a transitive normality relation

Maria De Falco and Francesco de Giovanni

Abstract. A group is said to be a T -group if all its subnormal subgroups are normal. The structure of groups satisfying the minimal condition on subgroups that do not have the property T is investigated. Moreover, locally soluble groups with finitely many conjugacy classes of subgroups which are not T -groups are characterized.

Keywords: T -group; Černikov group.

1 Introduction

A group G is said to be a T -group if every subnormal subgroup of G is normal, i.e. if normality in G is a transitive relation. The structure of finite soluble T -groups has been described by Gaschütz [5], while Robinson [6] investigated infinite soluble groups with the property T . It turns out in particular that every subgroup of a finite soluble T -group is likewise a T -group, a result that is no longer true for infinite soluble T -groups. We shall say that a group G is a \tilde{T} -group if all its subgroups are T -groups. Finite groups which do not have the property T but whose proper subgroups are T -groups (i.e. finite minimal-non- T groups) have been classified by Robinson in [7], where he also proved that locally finite minimal-non- T groups are finite.

Recently many authors have investigated the behaviour of groups for which the set of all subgroups which do not have a given property is small in some sense. In particular, S.N. Černikov [3] described groups satisfying the minimal condition on non-abelian subgroups, while groups with the minimal condition on subgroups that do not have the property FC have been studied in [4] (recall here that a group G is an FC -group if every element of G has finitely many

conjugates). The aim of this article is to consider the corresponding problem for the property T , and our main result is the following.

Theorem A. *Let G be a group having no infinite simple sections. If G satisfies the minimal condition on subgroups which are not T -groups, then either G is a Černikov group or it is a soluble \bar{T} -group.*

The above theorem can be used to study groups in which subgroups that do not have the property T fall into finitely many conjugacy classes.

Theorem B. *Let G be a locally soluble group having finitely many conjugacy classes of subgroups which are not T -groups. Then either G is finite or it is a \bar{T} -group.*

Most of our notation is standard and can for instance be found in [8].

2 Proofs

In our proofs we will use many results concerning the structure of soluble T -groups, for which we refer to [6]. If G is any T -group, we have $G^{(2)} = G^{(3)}$ (that is $G^{(2)}$ is the last term of the derived series of G) and hence soluble T -groups are metabelian. Moreover, every soluble T -group is locally supersoluble, so that in particular finite soluble T -groups are supersoluble and finite minimal-non- T groups are soluble. Recall also that finitely generated soluble T -groups either are finite or abelian, and that a group G has the property T if and only if every finite subset of G is contained in a T -subgroup of G .

Our first result proves that minimal-non- T groups are finite, provided that they satisfy a suitable condition, imposed in order to avoid Tarski groups and other similar pathologies.

Proposition 1. *Let G be an infinite group whose finitely generated subgroups have no infinite simple sections. If every proper subgroup of G is a T -group, then also G is a T -group.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that G is not a T -group. Then there exists a finitely generated subgroup of G which is not a T -group, and hence G itself must be finitely generated. Therefore the group G has no infinite simple sections, and hence there exists a properly descending chain

$$G_1 > G_2 > \dots > G_n > \dots$$

consisting of normal subgroups of finite index of G . Every G/G_n is a finite group whose proper subgroups are T -groups, so that it is soluble, and has derived length at most 3 since soluble T -groups are metabelian. It follows that $G^{(3)}$ is contained in every G_n , and $\bar{G} = G/G^{(3)}$ is an infinite finitely generated soluble

group whose proper subgroups are T -groups. In particular \bar{G} is polycyclic. Let \bar{H} be any proper subgroup of \bar{G} , and let \bar{K} be a proper subgroup of finite index of \bar{G} containing \bar{H} . Then \bar{K} is an infinite finitely generated soluble T -group, and hence it is abelian, so that also \bar{H} is abelian. Therefore every proper subgroup of \bar{G} is abelian, and then it is well-known that also \bar{G} is abelian. Thus $G' = G^{(3)}$ is a perfect non-trivial group. Moreover, G' is the normal closure of a finite subset of G , so that it contains a normal subgroup N of G such that G'/N is a chief factor of G . Assume that G'/N is not simple, so that there exists a normal subgroup X of G' such that $N < X < G'$. Then X is subnormal in G , and hence it is normal in every proper subgroup of G containing G' . It follows that G/G' has a unique maximal subgroup, and hence it is a finite cyclic group. This contradiction proves that G'/N is simple, so that it is finite and G/N is soluble-by-finite. On the other hand, we have already shown that every finite homomorphic image of G is soluble, so that G/N is soluble, and this last contradiction proves that G is a T -group. \square

A group class \mathfrak{X} is said to be S_n -closed if every normal (and hence also every subnormal) subgroup of an \mathfrak{X} -group is likewise an \mathfrak{X} -group. Recall also that a group G is *locally graded* if every finitely generated non-trivial subgroup of G contains a proper subgroup of finite index. Clearly, every group having no infinite simple sections is locally graded.

Lemma 2. *Let \mathfrak{X} be an S_n -closed class of groups, and let G be a locally graded group in which every proper subgroup either is a Černikov group or belongs to \mathfrak{X} . Then either G is a Černikov group or all its proper normal subgroups are \mathfrak{X} -groups.*

Proof. Suppose that G contains a proper normal subgroup N which is not an \mathfrak{X} -group. Then every proper subgroup of G containing N is a Černikov group. In particular, N is a Černikov group and all proper subgroups of G/N are Černikov groups. It follows that the locally graded group G satisfies the minimal condition on subgroups, so that it is locally finite. Therefore G is a Černikov group (see for instance [8] Part 1, p.98). \square

The proof of Theorem A will be accomplished through a series of lemmas dealing with the structure of groups in which every subgroup either is a Černikov group or a T -group.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a group in which every proper subgroup either is a Černikov group or a T -group. If G has no infinite simple sections, then every minimal normal subgroup of G is finite.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that G contains an infinite minimal normal subgroup N . Then N is not a Černikov group, so that it is a T -group. Clearly N is not simple, and hence it has a proper non-trivial normal subgroup X . Let g be an element of G such that $X^g \neq X$. Then the subgroup $\langle g, N \rangle$ is not a T -group, so that $\langle g, N \rangle = G$. Moreover, X is normal in every proper subgroup of G containing N , so that G/N has a unique maximal subgroup, and hence it is finite. Therefore G satisfies the minimal condition on normal subgroups, and so also N satisfies the minimal condition on normal subgroups (see [8] Part 1, Theorem 5.21). Let M be a minimal normal subgroup of N . Then M is simple and hence finite. Moreover, M has finitely many conjugates in G , so that also $N = M^G$ is finite by Dietzmann's Lemma (see [8] Part 1, p.45). This contradiction proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 4. *Let G be a group in which every proper subgroup either is a Černikov group or a T -group. If G has no infinite simple sections, then it is locally (soluble-by-finite).*

Proof. Clearly it can be assumed that G is a finitely generated infinite group. Then G contains a maximal normal subgroup N , and the factor group G/N is finite. Clearly N is not a Černikov group, so that every proper subgroup of G/N is a T -group, and G/N is soluble with derived length at most 3. Therefore $G/G^{(3)}$ is a finitely generated soluble non-trivial group. Assume that $G^{(3)}$ is not a Černikov group, so that every proper subgroup of $G/G^{(3)}$ is a T -group, and Proposition 1 yields that $G/G^{(3)}$ either is finite or abelian. Thus $G/G^{(3)}$ is finitely presented, and hence $G^{(3)}$ is the normal closure of a finite subset of G . Let M be a maximal proper G -invariant subgroup of $G^{(3)}$. Then $G^{(3)}/M$ is finite by Lemma 3, so that G/M is soluble-by-finite, and so even soluble. Since M is not a Černikov group, G/M has derived length at most 3. This contradiction shows that $G^{(3)}$ is a Černikov group, and hence G is soluble-by-finite. \square

Lemma 5. *Let G be a perfect group in which every proper subgroup either is a Černikov group or a T -group. If G has no infinite simple sections, then it satisfies the minimal condition on normal subgroups.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that

$$G = H_0 > H_1 > \dots > H_n > \dots$$

is a properly descending chain of normal subgroups of G . Since H_1 is not a Černikov group, every proper subgroup of G/H_1 is a T -group. It follows from Proposition 1 that G/H_1 either is finite or a \bar{T} -group. Moreover, G is locally

(soluble-by-finite) by Lemma 4, so that G/H_1 is soluble and G' is properly contained in G . This contradiction proves that G satisfies the minimal condition on normal subgroups. \square

Lemma 6. *Let G be a group in which every proper subgroup either is a Černikov group or a T -group. If G is hyper-(abelian or finite), then either G is a Černikov group or it is soluble.*

Proof. Assume that G is not a Černikov group, and let H/K be any finite normal section of G . Then $C = C_G(H/K)$ is a normal subgroup of G and G/C is finite. Clearly C is not a Černikov group, so that every proper subgroup of G/C is a T -group, and hence G/C is soluble with derived length at most 3. Thus $G^{(3)}$ acts trivially on every finite normal section of G . Let

$$\{1\} = G_0 < G_1 < \dots < G_\alpha < \dots < G_\tau = G$$

be an ascending normal series of G whose factors either are abelian or finite. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{1\} = G_0 \cap G^{(3)} &\leq G_1 \cap G^{(3)} \leq \dots \leq G_\tau \cap G^{(3)} = G^{(3)} \\ &\leq G^{(2)} \leq G^{(1)} \leq G \end{aligned}$$

is an ascending normal series with abelian factors, and so G is hyperabelian. Assume that every proper normal subgroup of G is a Černikov group. Then G satisfies the minimal condition on normal subgroups, and hence it is hyperfinite by Lemma 3, so that G is a Černikov group (see [8] Part 1, p.148). This contradiction shows that G contains a proper normal subgroup N which is not a Černikov group. Then every proper subgroup of G/N is a T -group, so that G/N itself is a T -group by Proposition 1, and hence it is soluble. It follows that G' is a proper subgroup of G , so that G' is a T -group by Lemma 2 and G is soluble. \square

Lemma 7. *Let G be a periodic hyperabelian group in which every proper subgroup either is a Černikov group or a T -group. Then either G is a Černikov group or it is a T -group. In particular, G is soluble.*

Proof. Suppose that G is not a Černikov group, so that every proper normal subgroup of G is a T -group by Lemma 2. Let F be the Fitting subgroup of G , and let E be any finite subgroup of F . Then E is subnormal in G and E^G is a proper normal subgroup of G , so that E^G is a T -group, and E has defect at most 2. In particular, F is nilpotent, and hence all its proper subgroups are T -groups. Therefore F itself is a T -group, and so even a Dedekind group. Since G is a

periodic hyperabelian group, F is not a Černikov group, and so it contains an infinite G -invariant subgroup A which is a direct product of subgroups of prime order. Let X be any finite subgroup of G , and let A_1 be a subgroup of finite index of A such that $A_1 \cap X = \{1\}$. Then also the subgroup

$$A_2 = \bigcap_{x \in X} A_1^x$$

has finite index in A , and so it contains a proper subgroup A_3 of finite index. Put

$$A_4 = \bigcap_{x \in X} A_3^x,$$

so that the index $|A : A_4|$ is finite and A_4 is an infinite X -invariant subgroup. Clearly $XA_4 < XA_2$, and hence XA_4 is a proper subgroup of G which is not a Černikov group. Therefore XA_4 is a T -group, so that also $X \simeq XA_4/A_4$ is a T -group. We have shown that every finite subgroup of G is a T -group, and it follows from this property that G is a \bar{T} -group. \square

Lemma 8. *Let G be a periodic group in which every proper subgroup either is a Černikov group or a T -group. If G has no infinite simple sections, then either G is a Černikov group or it is a soluble \bar{T} -group.*

Proof. Suppose that G is not a Černikov group, and assume that $G^{(n)} = G^{(n+1)}$ for some non-negative integer n . Then $G^{(n)}$ satisfies the minimal condition on normal subgroups by Lemma 5, and hence it is hyperfinite by Lemma 3. Application of Lemma 6 yields now that $G^{(n)}$ is soluble-by-finite, so that also G is soluble-by-finite. Let N be a soluble normal subgroup of finite index of G . Then N is not a Černikov group, so that all proper subgroups of the finite group G/N are T -groups, and hence G/N is soluble. It follows that G is soluble, and so it is a \bar{T} -group by Lemma 7. \square

Proof of Theorem A. Assume by contradiction that G contains subgroups which neither are Černikov groups nor T -groups, and let H be a minimal element of the set of such subgroups. Then every proper subgroup of H either is a Černikov group or a T -group, and it follows from Lemma 8 that H is not periodic. Let a be an element of infinite order of H , and let E be a finitely generated subgroup of H which is not a T -group. The finitely generated infinite group $\langle a, E \rangle$ is soluble-by-finite by Lemma 4, so that it is even soluble, and hence it is not a T -group. Therefore $H = \langle a, E \rangle$ is a finitely generated soluble group. Let X be any proper subgroup of finite index of H . Then X is a finitely generated infinite

soluble T -group, and so is abelian. In particular, H is polycyclic, so that every proper subgroup of H is contained in a proper subgroup of finite index, and hence all proper subgroups of H are abelian. Therefore H itself is abelian, and this contradiction shows that every subgroup of G either is a Černikov or a T -group. Then G is locally (soluble-by-finite) by Lemma 4. If G is periodic, it follows from Lemma 8 that either G is a Černikov group or a soluble \bar{T} -group. Suppose now that G contains an element g of infinite order, and let K be any finitely generated subgroup of G . Then $\langle g, K \rangle$ is a finitely generated infinite soluble-by-finite group, so that $\langle g, K \rangle$ is a soluble T -group, and hence it is abelian. Therefore also G is abelian, and the theorem is proved. \square

Let \mathfrak{X} be a group theoretical property. There is often a strong connection between groups with finitely many conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{X} -subgroups and groups satisfying the minimal condition on \mathfrak{X} -subgroups. This is actually a consequence of the following result of D.I. Zaicev, for a proof of which we refer to [1], Lemma 4.6.3.

Lemma 9. *Let G be a group locally satisfying the maximal condition on subgroups. If H is a subgroup of G and $H^x \leq H$ for some element x of G , then $H^x = H$.*

Proof of Theorem B. Assume that the group G is not soluble, so that for each positive integer n it contains a finitely generated subgroup E_n with derived length at least n . Since every soluble T -group is metabelian, the set $\{E_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ contains infinitely many pairwise non-isomorphic subgroups of G that are not T -groups. This contradiction shows that G is soluble. On the other hand, every soluble T -group is locally supersoluble, and hence G has finitely many conjugacy classes of subgroups which do not locally satisfy the maximal condition. Therefore G locally satisfies the maximal condition on subgroups (see [4], Proposition 3.3), and it follows from Lemma 9 that G satisfies both the minimal and the maximal condition on subgroups which are not T -groups. Application of Theorem A yields that either G is a Černikov group or it is a \bar{T} -group. Suppose that G is not a \bar{T} -group, so that it is a Černikov group and contains a subgroup H which is minimal-non- T . Assume now that G is infinite. Clearly H is finite, and hence there exists a properly ascending chain

$$H = H_1 < H_2 < \dots < H_n < \dots$$

consisting of finite subgroups of G , so that H_n is a T -group for some positive integer n . Since every finite soluble T -group is a \bar{T} -group, we obtain that also H is a T -group, and this contradiction completes the proof of the theorem. \square

References

1. B. Amberg, S. Franciosi, F. de Giovanni: "Products of Groups", *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1992).
2. B. Bruno, R.E. Phillips: "On minimal conditions related to Miller-Moreno type groups", *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **69** (1983), 153–168.
3. S.N. Černikov: "Infinite groups with prescribed properties of their systems of infinite subgroups", *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **159** (1964), 759–760 = *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **5** (1964), 1610–1611.
4. S. Franciosi, F. de Giovanni, Y.P. Sysak: "Groups with many FC-subgroups", *J. Algebra* **218** (1999), 165–182.
5. W. Gaschütz: "Gruppen in denen das Normalteilersein transitiv ist", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **198** (1957), 87–92.
6. D.J.S. Robinson: "Groups in which normality is a transitive relation", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **60** (1964), 21–38.
7. D.J.S. Robinson: "Groups which are minimal with respect to normality being intransitive", *Pacific J. Math.* **31** (1969), 777–785.
8. D.J.S. Robinson: "Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups", *Springer*, Berlin (1972).

Maria De Falco and Francesco de Giovanni

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni
Università di Napoli "Federico II"
Complesso Universitario Monte S. Angelo
Via Cintia
I 80126 Napoli, Italy

E-mail: degiova@matna2.dma.unina.it
defalco@matna2.dma.unina.it